1	STATE OF NEW HAMPSHIRE
2	PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION
3	
4	April 21, 2015 - 9:08 a.m.
5	Concord, New Hampshire  NHPUC APR23'15 am 8:43
6	DT - 201
7	RE: DG 15-091 LIBERTY UTILITIES (ENERGYNORTH NATURAL
8	GAS) CORP. d/b/a LIBERTY UTILITIES: 2015 Summer Cost of Gas.
9	
10	PRESENT: Chairman Martin P. Honigberg, Presiding Commissioner Robert R. Scott
11	
12	Sandy Deno, Clerk
13	
14	APPEARANCES: Reptg. Liberty Utilities (EnergyNorth
15	Natural Gas) Corp. d/b/a Liberty Utilities: Sarah B. Knowlton, Esq.
16	Reptg. Residential Ratepayers:
17	Wayne Jortner, Esq.  James Brennan, Finance Director
18	Office of Consumer Advocate
19	Reptg. PUC Staff: Michael J. Sheehan, Esq.
20	Rorie E. Patterson, Esq. Stephen P. Frink, Asst. Dir./Gas & Water Div.
21	Al-Azad Iqbal, Gas & Water Division
22	
23	Court Reporter: Steven E. Patnaude, LCR No. 52
24	



1		
2	INDEX	
3		PAGE NO.
4	WITNESS PANEL: DAVID B. SIMEK FRANCISCO C. DaFONTE	
5	FRANCISCO C. Dafonte	
6	Direct examination by Ms. Knowlton	7
7	Cross-examination by Mr. Jortner	11
8	Cross-examination by Mr. Sheehan	13
9	Interrogatories by Cmsr. Scott	21
10	Redirect examination by Ms. Knowlton	26
11		
12	* * *	
13		
13 14	CLOSING STATEMENTS BY:	PAGE NO.
	CLOSING STATEMENTS BY:  Mr. Jortner	<b>PAGE NO.</b> 28
14		
14 15	Mr. Jortner	28
14 15 16	Mr. Jortner Mr. Sheehan	28 29
14 15 16 17	Mr. Jortner Mr. Sheehan	28 29
14 15 16 17	Mr. Jortner Mr. Sheehan Ms. Knowlton	28 29
14 15 16 17 18	Mr. Jortner Mr. Sheehan Ms. Knowlton  FOLLOW-UP QUESTIONS BY:	28 29 29
14 15 16 17 18 19	Mr. Jortner Mr. Sheehan Ms. Knowlton  FOLLOW-UP QUESTIONS BY:  Commissioner Scott	28 29 29
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Mr. Jortner Mr. Sheehan Ms. Knowlton  FOLLOW-UP QUESTIONS BY:  Commissioner Scott	28 29 29

{DG 15-091} {04-21-15}

1			
2		EXHIBITS	
3	EXHIBIT NO.	DESCRIPTION	PAGE NO.
4	1	2015 Summer Cost of Gas Filing, including the Direct Testimony of	5
5		Francisco C. DaFonte, the Direct Testimony of David B. Simek, Table	
6		of Contents, Schedules, and Summary for Summer COG (03-17-15)	7
7		{CONFIDENTIAL VERSION}	
8	2	2015 Summer Cost of Gas Filing, including the Direct Testimony of	5
9		Francisco C. DaFonte, the Direct Testimony of David B. Simek, Table	
10		of Contents, Schedules, and Summary for Summer COG (03-17-15)	Į.
11		[REDACTED VERSION - for public use	1
12			
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			

1	PROCEEDING
2	CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: We are here this
3	morning in DG 15-091, which is Liberty Utilities
4	(EnergyNorth Natural Gas) Summer Cost of Gas proceeding.
5	It's something we do every six months, comparing
6	summer-to-summer and winter-to-winter, reconciling as
7	necessary. This will not include the LDAC, the Local
8	Delivery Adjustment Clause charge, because that's done in
9	the winter. Other than that, this is one of those ones we
10	do.
11	Before we go further, let's take
12	appearances.
13	MS. KNOWLTON: Good morning,
14	Commissioners. My name is Sarah Knowlton. I'm here today
15	on behalf of Liberty Utilities (EnergyNorth Natural Gas)
16	Corp. And, with me today from the Company are its two

MR. JORTNER: Good morning, your Honors.

Wayne Jortner, for the Office of the Consumer Advocate.

And, with me is James Brennan of the Office of the

Consumer Advocate.

witnesses, Mr. Simek and Mr. DaFonte, and also Mr. Mullen

MR. SHEEHAN: Good morning. Mike Sheehan, of Commission Staff. And, present is Steve

and Mr. Hall.

```
1
       Frink, Assistant Director of the Gas & Water Division;
 2
       Al-Azad Iqbal, a Utility Analyst; and Rorie Patterson,
 3
       co-counsel.
 4
                         CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Ms. Knowlton, how
 5
       are we going to proceed this morning?
 6
                         MS. KNOWLTON:
                                        Thank you. The Company
 7
       would propose calling as a panel Mr. Simek and Mr.
 8
       DaFonte. We have two exhibits that I'd like to mark for
 9
       identification. The first, as "Exhibit 1", I would
10
       propose to mark the Confidential Version of the Company's
11
       Summer Cost of Gas filing from March 17th, 2015. And, as
12
       "Exhibit 2", the Redacted Version of that filing of the
13
       same date.
14
                         (The documents, as described, were
15
                         herewith marked as Exhibit 1 and
16
                         Exhibit 2, respectively, for
17
                         identification.)
18
                         CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: A couple of things.
19
       We probably should deal with the Motion for Confidential
20
       Treatment.
                   There's no objection, correct?
21
                         MR. SHEEHAN: Correct.
22
                         CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Mr. Jortner,
23
       there's no objection to the Motion for Confidential
24
       Treatment?
```

1	MR. JORTNER: No objection.
2	CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: All right. That
3	motion is approved. The other aspect of that, keep in
4	mind that we don't have up here the redacted version. So,
5	if there's a need to refer to the redacted version,
6	someone is going to have to give it to us or explain. I
7	believe that the confidential version has what's redacted
8	highlighted within it. So, if we're moving in a direction
9	where we're going to start talking about something that's
10	confidential, we'll have to try and keep that in mind and
11	deal with it on the transcript. Does that make sense?
12	MS. KNOWLTON: Yes.
13	CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: All right. Are
14	there going to be any other witnesses?
15	MS. KNOWLTON: No. I'm sorry.
16	MR. SHEEHAN: None from staff.
17	CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: You're probably
18	right, just making sure.
19	So, why don't we have your witnesses
20	come up then, Ms. Knowlton.
21	MS. KNOWLTON: Thank you. The Company
22	calls Mr. Simek and Mr. DaFonte.
23	(Whereupon <b>David B. Simek</b> and
24	Francisco C DaFonte were duly sworn by

1		the Court Reporter.)
2		DAVID B. SIMEK, SWORN
3		FRANCISCO C. DaFONTE, SWORN
4		DIRECT EXAMINATION
5	BY M	IS. KNOWLTON:
6	Q.	Good morning, Mr. DaFonte. I'll start with you, if
7		you're ready?
8	Α.	(DaFonte) Yes, I am.
9	Q.	Would you state your full name for the record please.
10	Α.	(DaFonte) Francisco C. DaFonte.
11	Q.	By whom are you employed?
12	Α.	(DaFonte) Liberty Utilities (EnergyNorth Natural Gas)
13		Corp.
14	Q.	What is your position with the Company?
15	Α.	(DaFonte) I'm the Vice President of Energy Procurement.
16	Q.	Do your job responsibilities in that capacity include
17		responsibility for the Company's Summer Cost of Gas
18		filing?
19	Α.	(DaFonte) Yes, they do.
20	Q.	What is your role in that?
21	Α.	(DaFonte) I help in terms of developing the forecast,
22		responsible for overseeing that development, as well as
23		management of the resource portfolio, overseeing the
24		cost estimates for the summer period as well and

- obviously, putting together testimony to discuss all of those issues.
- Q. Did you prepare the direct testimony that has your name on it that was filed in this docket on March 17th, 2015?
- 6 A. (DaFonte) Yes, I did.
- 7 Q. Do you have any corrections or updates to that testimony?
- 9 A. (DaFonte) I do not.

19

20

21

22

23

- 10 Q. If I were to ask you the questions in your testimony
  11 today, would the answers be the same?
- 12 A. (DaFonte) Yes, they would.
- Q. Do you have any additional any additional
  information that you'd like to provide to the
  Commission today that relates to the cost of gas
  filing? And, in particular, I had in mind with regard
  to the PNGTS rate case?
  - A. (DaFonte) Yes. We received a notice from PNGTS regarding a refund that goes back to December of 2010, and covers the period through and including January of 2015. That stems from a couple rate cases that PNGTS had before the FERC, where they sought to increase rates to approximately \$1.32 from their previous rate of approximately 85 cents. And, those rates were

implemented by the FERC subject to refund. Ultimately, the FERC ruled that the rates for PNGTS should be approximately 88 cents. So, therefore, PNGTS had to go back and refund the difference between the rate that was implemented on a temporary basis, and then refund the difference to all shippers holding capacity on PNGTS during that period. EnergyNorth only holds 1,000 decatherms of capacity. Nevertheless, because of the large differential between the temporary rate and the approved rate, it's going to receive about \$715,000 in refunds. And, that is coming either this week — should be coming this week. So, the refund should be in this week.

- Q. Has the Company given any consideration as to how it would flow that refund back to customers?
  - A. (DaFonte) We haven't determined the exact allocation, but, because the PNGTS rate is spread across the summer and winter period, it would make most sense to the Company that the refund would also be allocated partly to the summer and partly to the winter period.
  - Q. How would that affect the filing that the Company has before the Commission today?
- A. (DaFonte) We haven't done the calculation yet in terms
  of the impact on summer rates. It should not be

### [WITNESS PANEL: Simek~DaFonte]

- 1 significant. But, nevertheless, we'll run that calculation to make that determination. But, if it is 2 3 split evenly, one would expect about, you know, 4 357,000 or so dollars going into the summer period, or 5 somewhere around there, and the same into the winter 6 period, if it's an equal split. But the Company is 7 certainly open to, you know, working with Staff to 8 determine how best to allocate that refund.
- 9 Q. And, am I correct to assume that that would result in a further reduction of the rate that's proposed today?
- 11 A. (DaFonte) Correct.
- Q. Thank you. Mr. Simek, I'm going to ask you similar questions. Would you -- let's start with your name please, if you would state that for the record.
- 15 A. (Simek) David B. Simek.
- 16 Q. By whom are you employed?
- 17 A. (Simek) Liberty Energy Utilities (New Hampshire) Corp.
- 18 Q. What is your position with the Company?
- 19 A. (Simek) I am a Lead Utility Analyst within the 20 Regulatory Group.
- 21 Q. What do your job duties encompass?
- 22 A. (Simek) Mainly rate-related services related to gas.
- Q. Do you have any responsibility for the Summer Cost of Gas filing for EnergyNorth?

1 A. (Simek) Yes. I was responsible for providing my

- 2 written testimony, and also calculating the rates.
- 3 Q. And, was the written testimony that has your name on
- 4 it, filed on March 17th, 2015, prepared by you or under

- 5 your direction?
- 6 A. (Simek) Yes.
- 7 Q. Do you have any corrections or updates to your
- 8 testimony?
- 9 A. (Simek) No.
- 10 Q. If I were to ask you the questions contained in your
- 11 testimony today, would the answers be the same?
- 12 A. (Simek) Yes.
- MS. KNOWLTON: I have nothing further
- 14 for the witnesses.
- 15 CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Mr. Jortner.
- MR. JORTNER: Thank you. Just a couple
- of questions for Mr. DaFonte regarding the PNGTS refund.
- 18 CROSS-EXAMINATION
- 19 BY MR. JORTNER:
- 20 Q. For the total of the 700 -- approximately \$715,000
- 21 refund, Liberty has received that already, is that
- 22 correct?
- 23 A. (DaFonte) I'm not sure if it's here yet, but it should
- be -- it was supposed to have been issued by

- April 15th. So, I would have to check to see if that's already been received by the Company. But I expect, if not last week, then this week.
  - Q. And, when that gets rolled into this reconciliation period and the winter reconciliation period, is there any interest rate that's applied to the amount that will be flowed back to ratepayers?
    - A. (DaFonte) The interest is calculated and paid for by PNGTS. So, any refund in the difference in the rates accrues interest through April 15th.
- Q. And, that's already included in the \$715,000 you've probably received at this point, correct?
- 13 A. (DaFonte) That's correct.

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

20

21

22

23

- Q. So, there's -- but there's no additional interest that
  Liberty would be providing to ratepayers, because of
  the delay and because of the time between your receipt
  and the rolling into rates?
- 18 A. (DaFonte) Right. That would be embedded in the PNGTS
  19 interest calculation.
  - Q. Well, the PNGTS interest calculation is done once they make the payment to you, which presumably has happened already. So, I'm just asking about the interest rate that might be provided by Liberty, because Liberty is holding those funds for some amount of time?

```
A. (Simek) The Company would include the rate, the

3.25 percent, that rate for the customer deposit, for

the period that we're holding the funds to when the

actual refund gets disbursed to customers.
```

13

- Q. Thank you, Mr. Simek. And, so, the total refund amount will increase from \$715,000 before it's reconciled?
- A. (Simek) Correct.
- Q. Thank you. Is there currently an estimate of what -of how the cost of gas rate will change after that
  refund is applied?
- 11 A. (Simek) No, there is not. I haven't done the calculation.
- MR. JORTNER: Okay. That's all I have.
- 14 Thank you.

5

6

7

- 15 CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Mr. Sheehan.
- MR. SHEEHAN: Thank you. A few
- questions, and we'll start with those that we just left
- 18 off with.
- 19 BY MR. SHEEHAN:
- Q. When you do calculate the change in the summer rates,
- 22 filing?

21

23 A. (Simek) In regards to updating the futures or are we talking just about this refund?

will that be implemented through a monthly trigger

- 1 Q. Refund first.
- A. (Simek) I believe the refund would probably be held
  until the next summer period, accumulating the interest
  in the meantime. And, so, we would probably include
  the winter portion, once we reconcile it, in the winter
  period, and, then, the summer period would go next
  year.
- Q. Okay. So, it's unlikely to affect this summer's rates, it will be part of the calculation for next summer?
- 10 A. (Simek) I believe so, yes.
- 11 Q. Okay. It sounds like you're still in the -- the
  12 Company is still in the thought process of how to deal
  13 with these issues surrounding the refund, is that fair?
- 14 A. (Simek) Yes.

16

17

18

- Q. And, in that regard, has the Company given any thought to who should get the refund, in particular, regarding the Company-managed supplies? Does it go to marketers or does it go to customers? Has there been any thought in that process?
- A. (DaFonte) The Company does not release that capacity as
  a part of its slice of system. So, there wouldn't be
  no allocation to marketers.
- 23 Q. Okay.
- 24 A. (DaFonte) We are working with PNGTS to find out if any

marketer that served the Berlin, essentially, there's a jail up there that has been a transportation customer in the past. Since Liberty has acquired the EnergyNorth assets, they have been a sales customer. So, we'd have to go back and look to see if they did — if National Grid did, in fact, release capacity, and whether PNGTS directly refunded the entity that took on that capacity or whether we would have to refund it to them.

- Q. Going back to the question Mr. Simek thought I was going to ask, your filing is based, in part, on the NYMEX price from back in March. Has the price changed from then until now, and will that be incorporated into the summer rates?
- A. (Simek) Yes. Based on the April 17th NYMEX settlement, the cost of gas would be approximately \$400,000 lower, and since it would reduce the rate by about 5.6 percent. And, it would also change what we proposed in this filing today, from approximately 34 cents to -- down to about 32 cents. We are -- what we would typically do is make the change based on our first month's adjustment that would be made and effective on June 1st to incorporate those -- (Court reporter interruption.)

# CONTINUED BY THE WITNESS:

- A. (Simek) That it would be incorporated on June 1st, that's related to timing of the NYMEX rates.
- 4 BY MR. SHEEHAN:

1

- Q. So, the request for the rate effective May 1 has remained the same, and this change related to NYMEX you would do by June 1 through the so-called "trigger report"?
- 9 A. (Simek) Correct.
- 10 Q. Assuming it doesn't change again?
- 11 A. (Simek) Correct. We submit the trigger by the 24th of
  12 the month. And, so, it would be based on the NYMEX
  13 forwards that are around that period.
- Q. Did the PUC Audit Staff complete its audit from last summer's cost of gas period?
- 16 A. (Simek) Yes.
- 17 Q. And, were there any findings from that audit?
- A. (Simek) The major finding that came out of that audit is related to the beginning balances, the difference between the beginning balances that the Company shows on its General Ledger and the beginning balances that we had showed in our filings for the three regulatory accounts.

This has been an issue that's been

- ongoing back to National Grid days. But I had committed to Audit Staff to have this issue resolved by the end of this month. So, going forward, we will be having the filings' beginning balances and the General Ledger will tie.
  - Q. And, any changes that you would have to make based on the audit finding and the investigation you're doing to figure that out, would that be implemented into this summer's rates, if they have an effect?
  - A. (Simek) No. The summer reconciliation, which gets verified by Audit, the Commission Staff Audit team, is they have been verifying all along that all the activity within the month is what actually ties. So, there really would be no effect, because they already audited and confirmed that the activity was correct. It really was just a beginning balance issue. So, there will be no changes that would be made to the Summer Cost of Gas.
- Q. Mr. DaFonte, can you compare the 2015 summer supply plan to the 2014 plan? Is it similar? And, explain any differences please.
- A. (DaFonte) Sure. The plan is very similar. Obviously, we don't purchase a lot of supply during the summer.

  But the supply that we do purchase is primarily a

Marcellus supply, our Tennessee long-haul capacity access has -- or, has access to Marcellus and Utica shale supplies. The difference is, and it's reflected in the rate, is that last summer the Marcellus was trading at approximately 30 to 60 cents below the NYMEX, and this year it's in the \$1.00 to \$1.30 range below the NYMEX. So, it's a very depressed market there in Marcellus, and the Company is taking advantage of that through its purchases.

We are also pretty well depleted in storage, and we'll look to refill that this summer as well, very similar to last summer. We were coming off of a significantly colder winter last year. This year, the winter was actually even colder. So, it was actually, without having all the numbers in yet for April, but, for the winter period, November through April, it looks like it's going to be above a design winter, in terms of how cold it was. So, something that we hadn't seen certainly coming, and, even from a design perspective, it wasn't forecast to be this cold. So, we did deplete storage quite well. And, we have the opportunity now to refill it with much cheaper Marcellus gas. All those storages are located in and around Marcellus, so, we're able to inject gas there at

- a very low cost, which should help the winter period.
- Q. And, of course, the cost of those purchases we'll deal with this fall in the winter cost of gas?

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

- A. (DaFonte) That's correct. That's correct. And, otherwise, everything else is pretty similar, not many changes.
- Q. There is an increase in the demand cost for 2015, compared to the 2014. Can you explain the basis for that?
- (DaFonte) Yes. Prior to Liberty taking on the assets Α. of EnergyNorth, National Grid had taken a portion of its Dracut capacity, approximately 26,000 decatherms, and it was included in their peaking service. Which meant that the demand charges associated with that capacity were all rolled into the winter period, and into that peaking service in particular. That was a bit problematic when we took a look at it, because it's a company-managed service, and we are obligated to provide the marketers with an estimation of what the price is going to be for that particular service. Of course, when you're buying gas at Dracut, and you have the volatility that has been exhibited over the last two winters there, it becomes very difficult to estimate when you're going to call on it and what the

price is going to be at that time. So, that led to what I would consider, you know, not the most efficient way to manage that capacity. And, it certainly would lead to cost shifting, either way, whether it's to the marketers or to the sales customers.

So, we felt that it was better to assign that capacity directly to the marketers, because it is pipeline capacity and it should fall into the "pipeline" category, as opposed to the "peaking" category, which is typically just LNG and propane, and if the Company had a peaking-type service from a third party.

And, so, by doing that, it now becomes a, you know, more allocated cost to the summer period. However, a lot of those costs or a good portion of those costs are essentially released and refunded through the release to these marketers. But, in doing that it also allows the marketers to determine how best to utilize the capacity, whether they want to lock in prices, whether they want to use it for peaking, or something else. And, we kind of leave it to the marketers to deal with it in the manner that they see fit.

MR. SHEEHAN: Thank you. That's all the

1 questions Staff had.

0.

CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Commissioner Scott.

CMSR. SCOTT: Good morning.

WITNESS DaFONTE: Good morning.

WITNESS SIMEK: Good morning.

Where do I start? Perhaps I'll start with Mr. Simek.

BY CMSR. SCOTT:

On your testimony, you talk about "occupant accounts", it's an issue we've addressed before at the Commission. I think you mentioned there's, on Bates 021, there's a -- your understanding is it's around 41, \$42,000 less than forecasted. But I was curious if you could help me interpret Schedule 15, Bates 145. So that, you give me a snapshot, which understandably is the period that we're talking about here, but what it doesn't do is give me a understanding of how it compares to prior months in similar, you know, past years' the same month. That is there -- I assume, based on the way

A. (Simek) Yes, it is. If we actually can go to Bates

Page 142. And, if we can look at Column (e), we can

see that, from the period from three years ago, the

number of occupant accounts were approximately 4,500.

would be less, is that the case?

you're handling occupancy accounts now, that the number

And, now, for this last period, November '13 through October of 2014, the number of accounts is down to 37 or 3,800.

We have been actively managing the accounts. Just recently, this year, we closed approximately five to six hundred (600) Grade III leaks, which, obviously, is helping to lower our overall outstanding accounts. If we look at schedule -- or, I'm sorry, Bates Page 139, this is the actual calculation, which, when you get to Lines 52, 53, and 54, that actually state the incentive or disallowance that was based on how well the Company managed the occupant accounts.

with Commission Staff to look into this, this model and this calculation, and really see if it's doing what it was actually intended to do, which is really giving an incentive or a disallowance based on how well we're managing the accounts. Because, over some research that we've done internally, it doesn't appear that this actual calculation is really performing as it was meant to do. So, we do plan on working with Commission Staff to review it and see if there's a different direction that we can maybe take going forward.

- Q. Thank you. And, going back to Schedule 15, on Bates 145, so just to help me understand that snapshot, it would appear that it was actually going up within that snapshot. Is that a seasonal impact or --
- A. (Simek) Exactly. We don't really close the accounts during the winter. So, if this was actually for the full 12 months, we would assume that it would be much lower in the summer period when we do the catch up that we would be doing through, basically, from May, May and June.
- Q. So, can you help me with that? So, you don't close accounts during the winter for unoccupied dwellings?
- A. (Simek) That was my understanding, yes. It was just a Company direction that for well, let me take a step back. We do have a policy that's in place right now that we have, and it's sitting with the rate case, for the rate case that's in discussion right now. And, in that policy, we'd be moving forward with a soft close process, which is what we are in the process of implementing now. So, that process alone will allow us to move forward and close much more accounts quickly. And, there's actually meetings going on today to begin that process and move forward. So, we fully plan on having that number decrease immensely over the next few

- 1 months. So, again, if we were showing a 12-month
  2 impact, we would see that number going down.
- 3 Q. Thank you.

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

- 4 A. (Simek) You're welcome.
  - Q. Another question I had was, now I've just got to find it, so bear with me, on Bates 008, there's a discussion about "supplemental gas supply facilities". So, reading this, am I correct in understanding that there's, in addition to, obviously, your portfolio of supply, the supplemental gas facilities are viewed as sufficient for your needs, is that correct?
- 12 A. (Simek) Yes.
  - Q. And, on Bates 023, it's probably not necessary to go there, there's a discussion about bill messaging and educating customers. So, for those who have to sit through the electric side of the discussion, they all understand it's important for the Commission that customers understand there's a cyclical seasonal price impact. Is there some messaging well, let me back up a little bit. Is that correct for gas also?
- 21 A. (Simek) Yes.
- Q. And, does the on-bill messaging at least allude to
  that? So, my fear is that what I wouldn't want is an
  uneducated customer to get a message saying "Great"

news, your bill's gone down", and them not to
understand that it's likely to go up again in the
winter season.

- A. (Simek) I am not exactly sure what's stated on the bill itself. I know we talked about the rates going down.

  I'm not sure if there's any discussion about the seasonality. I do know that the bill insert also points the customers to look for more information on our webpage. And, on the webpage, it does discuss the seasonality of rates, on the gas side as well. So, I'm just not sure what the bill -- what's exactly written on the bill insert.
  - Q. Thank you. And, I think my final question is, is during this summer period, I presume not a whole lot, do you have any kind of growth projections? Is there an expectation that there will be additional customers coming in?
  - A. (DaFonte) Yes. Yes, that's the assumption. We're ramping up our growth, you know, more actively seeking new customers, and expect that the growth for this summer will exceed last summer. And, I think, subject to check, we added a little over a thousand meters last year. So, we're expecting that we'll improve significantly on that.

- Q. And, am I correct, for those who heat with gas, the summer is likely to be the time you'd make a switch, is that a correct statement?
  - A. (DaFonte) Correct. That's when we are typically adding the new customers. So, this would be the period to do it.
- 7 Q. Is that similar for commercial customers? Is that --
  - A. (DaFonte) Yes. You know, this is really our construction season. So, to the extent that we're extending a main or putting in a service, we would do it while we can dig in the street.
- 12 CMSR. SCOTT: Thank you. That's all I have.

CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: I don't have any questions that haven't already been asked. Ms. Knowlton, do you have any further questions for your witnesses?

MS. KNOWLTON: I do. I have a few.

19 BY MS. KNOWLTON:

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

14

15

16

17

18

20

21

22

23

24

Q. This question is either for you, Mr. Simek, or Mr.

DaFonte. If the Company were ordered to include in the new rate that's proposed for this summer the rate change as a result of the PNGTS rate refund, would the Company be able to accommodate that?

REDIRECT EXAMINATION

{DG 15-091} {04-21-15}

- 1 A. (Simek) Yes.
- Q. Mr. Simek, with regard to the issue of customer

  communications, your testimony refers to a press

  release that the Company would be issuing at the time

  of receipt of an order from the Commission regarding

  this rate change, is that correct?
- 7 A. (Simek) Yes.

- Q. And, would you be willing to commit to the Commission that you could look at that press release and ensure that there is some language in there that addresses Commissioner Scott's concern about messaging to customers that the rate is it's a seasonal change only, and that the rate would be expected to go up again next winter?
- A. (Simek) Yes. Also, if I could just add to that. I had mentioned "bill inserts" in my discussion. The bill inserts, I wrongly spoke, they do include information regarding the seasonality. It was the messages that are on the bill itself that, unfortunately, I'm not familiar with what that says.

MS. KNOWLTON: Thank you. I have nothing further for the witnesses.

CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: I think that is all we need from the witnesses. There are no other witnesses.

```
1
                         Is there any objection to striking the
 2
       ID on the two exhibits?
 3
                         (No verbal response)
 4
                         (Atty. Sheehan indicating in the
 5
                         negative.)
 6
                         CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Seeing none, we'll
 7
       go with that. Is there anything else we need to do before
       the parties sum up?
 8
 9
                         (No verbal response)
10
                         CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Didn't think so.
11
       Mr. Jortner, you get to go first.
12
                         MR. JORTNER: Thank you, your Honor.
13
       The Office of Consumer Advocate has no material object --
14
       excuse me -- has no objections to the cost of gas
       adjustment being executed as proposed.
15
16
                         With respect to the issue that
17
       Ms. Knowlton just brought up again with her client, where
18
       she elicited their willingness to flow it all through in
19
       the current period, the OCA would probably favor that
20
       approach, but is not -- would not object to a longer
21
       period, given the fact that the interest rate, as
22
       testified to by Mr. Simek, would make ratepayers largely
23
       indifferent between an immediate flow-through and a
24
       flow-through that might take a year or a little bit more
```

```
1
       than a year.
 2
                         So, given that, we have no objections.
 3
       Thank you.
 4
                         CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG:
                                              Thank you.
 5
       Mr. Sheehan.
 6
                         MR. SHEEHAN: Thank you.
 7
       completed its review of this docket and recommends
 8
       approval of the Summer Cost of Gas as proposed. I agree
 9
       with the tenor of this hearing that, if the Commission
10
       ordered, we could certainly work in some of these other
11
       rates, apparently, the Company could, but that is
12
       something that Staff does not have a strong position on,
13
       on the refund that Mr. Jortner just mentioned, as well as
14
       the NYMEX changes. It seems to make more sense to approve
15
       the rate as proposed, and make the adjustments as the
16
       summer goes along.
17
                         We thank Staff and the OCA -- I mean,
18
       the Company and the OCA for cooperating in this process.
19
       And, we have nothing further.
20
                         CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Ms. Knowlton.
21
                         MS. KNOWLTON:
                                        Thank you. Based on the
22
       testimony that was heard today, as well as the prefiled
23
       direct testimony of the witnesses, the Company submits
```

that the proposed rates are just and reasonable, and ask

1 that the Commission approve the rates for a service-rendered basis as of May 1st, 2015. The Company 2 3 would update the rates through the monthly trigger filing, 4 as appropriate. 5 With regard to the issue of the PNGTS 6 refund, the Company is open to however the Commission 7 seeks to treat that refund, so long as it recognizes that there is some allocation of the refund to the summer cost 8 9 of gas and some to the winter period, as that should be 10 done appropriately. 11 The last point I'd like to make is that 12 the Company does continue to work to reduce the occupant 13 accounts that are outstanding. It does have our 14 attention. And, as Mr. Simek indicated, the Company also 15 has a proposal on the table in the rate case. So, we'll 16 see where that goes. But, regardless, the output 17 accounts, you know, is something that we are actively 18 working. 19 And, with that, I'd like to thank the 20 Staff and the OCA for their participation and making this 21 a smooth, quick docket. I know it's a fast one. 22 CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: I think

CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: I think

Commissioner Scott isn't going to let it go just yet.

Commissioner Scott.

23

```
1
                         MS. KNOWLTON:
                                        Okay.
 2
                         CMSR. SCOTT: So, given your willingness
 3
       to include the PNGTS rebate, what would be -- obviously,
 4
       the filing doesn't have that in it right now. Is that
 5
       something you could put together in a fashion that would
 6
      meet your timelines?
 7
                         WITNESS SIMEK: Yes.
                                               We could.
 8
                         CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Would it be for May
 9
       1 or would it be adjusted for June 1?
10
                         WITNESS SIMEK: We could make the
11
       adjustment for May 1st.
12
                         CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Anything else?
13
                         (Chairman Honigberg and Commissioner
14
                         Scott conferring.)
15
                         CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Ms. Knowlton.
16
                         MS. KNOWLTON: The only other thing I
17
       wanted to throw out there is, I don't know whether the
18
       Commission would want the Company to provide any
19
       additional information about the impact of this?
20
       dollar amount is relatively small. And, I know that
21
       Mr. DaFonte indicated that he needs to hear from PNGTS
22
       whether there is some customers, you know, that already
23
      have received their portion of the refund, we wouldn't
24
       want them to get double paid.
```

But, you know, if the timing was possible, and I would leave this to Mr. DaFonte and Mr. Simek, if you wanted some type of update showing the impact, if it's feasible to do, I would throw that out there as a possibility.

CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: It sounds to me like the invitation has been offered by you all to -- and I think we're going to take you up on at least figuring it out. How complicated would it be? Is it really doable? It's going to take -- it's going to take a year to get all the money back. It's either going to happen this summer and the following winter or it's going to happen the following winter, over the next summer. One way or the other, it's going to take a year to get the money back, it's just a question as to which year. Mr. Jortner has appropriately observed that the interest should make the customers who are and remain customers indifferent. There may be a difference between who's a customer now and who's a customer a year from now.

But, I mean, I think if it's feasible, it probably makes sense to figure out and do it. If it's infeasible, or it would require an inordinate amount of work, even though it is feasible, then tell us that, and I guess work with Staff and the OCA as to what makes the

```
most sense. Does that work for everybody?
 1
 2
                         MR. SHEEHAN: Yes, sir.
 3
                         CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Okay. Is there
       anything else we need to do?
 4
 5
                         (No verbal response)
 6
                         CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Okay. Then, well,
 7
       before we close the hearing, it's for rates to be
 8
       effective May 1. That means the order needs to be out by
 9
       the end of next week, basically, right?
                         MR. SHEEHAN: Correct.
10
                         CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: All right. So,
11
12
       then, we'll adjourn this hearing and issue an order as
13
       quickly as we can. And, we're adjourned.
14
                         (Whereupon the hearing was adjourned at
15
                         9:47 a.m.)
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
```